

# Chapter 4 – List of Preparers; Consultation and Coordination

## List of Preparers

The following Apache-Sitgreaves National Forests staff were directly involved with the preparation of this draft environmental impact statement (DEIS).

Name	Title	DEIS Contribution
Conner, Tami	Environmental Coordinator	NEPA, Team Leader, writer/editor
Cote, Paula	Previous NEPA Coordinator	NEPA, Team Leader, writer/editor, Scenery Management, Socioeconomics
Domsalla, Ryan	Recreation, Lands, Special Uses Program Manager	Recreation
Humphrey, Beth	Wildlife Biologist	Wildlife and Rare Plants
Loving, Nancy	GIS Specialist	GIS Data Analysis and Maps
MacIvor, Deborah	Forest Engineer	Travel Management, Roads and Trails
Schroeder, Melissa	Forest Archaeologist, Heritage Program Manager	Cultural Resources
Subirge, Tom	Soil Scientist	Soils and Watershed, Vegetation, Air Quality
Ward, Jerry	Fisheries Biologist	Fisheries and Aquatics

Ecosphere Environmental Services, on contract with the Apache-Sitgreaves National Forests, prepared draft specialist reports for most resource areas which were used as a base, but were updated and revised by the Forest Service specialists listed above. RecSolutions, a Forest Service Enterprise Team, provided some initial writer/editor assistance as well as content analysis of public comments received during scoping.

## Consultation and Coordination

### Tribal Consultation

The following nine tribes were consulted: White Mountain Apache Tribe, San Carlos Apache Tribe, Hopi Nation, Navajo Nation, Pueblo of Zuni, Yavapai-Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe, and the Ramah Chapter of the Navajo Nation.

### Federal, State, and Local Agency Consultation

Due to the number of Federal, State, and local agencies, a complete list is not included here. However, a list is available in the project record. Mailing lists are available for the scoping periods and for the DEIS. A list of agencies who commented during the scoping period is also available. Briefly, some agencies consulted included the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, BLM, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Arizona Game and Fish Department, University of Arizona, U.S. Senators, Arizona State Representatives, County Board of Supervisors, local fire and police departments, and local mayors.

## **Coordination with Groups and Individuals**

Numerous individuals participated in the process through written comments and by attending public meetings. A list of those who provided comments as well as mailing lists are available in the project record. Following is a subset of groups that were consulted: White Mountain Open Trails Association, TRACKS, White Mountain Conservation League, Citizens for Multiple Land Use and Access (CMLUA), Center for Biological Diversity (CBD), Grand Canyon Wildlands Council, National Wildlife Federation, Arizona Nature Conservancy, Apache County ATV Roughriders, Wilderness Society, Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation, the Audubon Society, and local chambers of commerce.

## **List of Agencies, Organizations, and Individuals to Whom Copies of the DEIS were Sent**

In the letter accompanying the proposed action, we let people know that they would receive a copy of the DEIS if they commented or if they specifically requested it. We also sent postcards to the public requesting they return the card if they wanted a copy of the DEIS sent to them, and to specify what format they would like it in. We sent the DEIS to everyone who commented during the scoping period, and those who asked to receive a copy of it. In addition, copies have been sent (or in some cases made electronically available) to Federal agencies, federally recognized tribes, State and local governments, and organizations that have requested to be involved in the development of this analysis.

These entities include the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Department of the Interior, Federal Highway Administration, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, USDA National Agricultural Library, State wildlife and fisheries management agencies, County Board of Supervisors, and local community governments. Due to the number of people, agencies and organizations, a complete listing is not provided here, but is available upon request.